As more and more people question the vaccine status quo, so rises the number of questions about how to legally avoid mandatory vaccines. This article introduces information underlying the growing international vaccine controversy and vaccine legal exemptions in the U.S.

Why Would Anyone Want to Avoid Vaccines?

According to official statistics, 90 – 95% of childhood infectious disease decline in the 1900’s preceded the introduction of vaccines. Vaccines are simply not the reason we have enjoyed lower disease rates in the late 1900’s and early 2000’s. In fact, 1962 congressional testimony by Dr. Bernard Greenberg, head of the Dept. of Biostatistics for the University of North Carolina School of Public Health, revealed that cases of polio increased substantially after mandatory vaccinations, and that the statistics were deliberately manipulated by the Public Health Service to give the opposite impression. This was not an isolated instance; corruption in the vaccine arena has continued and expanded considerably in more recent decades.

For example, the peer-reviewed medical literature is not as reliable as the medical publishing industry would have us believe. Marcia Angell, M.D. of Harvard University, and former editor of the New England Journal of Medicine for 20 years, went public in 2008 and said: “It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines.” With regard to influenza vaccines specifically, the internationally respected Cochrane Collaboration, in a 2010 review of the flu vaccine literature, reported that “reliable evidence on influenza vaccines is thin but there is evidence of widespread manipulation of conclusions…” These are but two of numerous documented examples, but the bottom line is that in today’s world, we have to look further than study conclusions to know what’s really going on in the world of medical science. What gets published or not has huge financial implications, and is frequently skewed or even falsified accordingly.

Another key aspect concerns the integrity of the pharmaceutical industry, which has become highly questionable. In 2009, Pfizer got a $1 billion criminal fine, and a $ 1.3 billion civil fine. In 2012, Glaxo got a $1 billion criminal fine and a $2 billion civil fine. In 2013, Johnson and Johnson got a $2 billion fine. Fines in the $100’s of millions are common, typically for illegal marketing of prescription drugs. No one goes to jail, and the behavior continues, so apparently
there’s a net gain. The bottom line is that moral, ethical, and even criminal lines are, for this industry, merely financial calculations. Since criminal behavior is routine in the pharmaceutical industry, we have to ask ourselves: “Should anyone ever be required to take a product from this industry?”

Next, while debate rages on over the safety of vaccines, some points are indisputably clear, such as the fact that vaccines come with a risk of injury or death. The federal government’s National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program has paid out an average of over $100 million annually for the past 25 years to vaccine victims and their families, for serious injuries and deaths cause by vaccines.[viii] Yes, vaccines can kill you, and have killed people ranging in age from newborn infants to senior citizens, and every age in-between. Worse, both the FDA and CDC have admitted that only 1 to 10% of serious vaccine adverse events are ever even reported.[ix] So, the truth is, vaccines do cause serious harm, but no one knows to precisely what extent. Anyone who tells you that vaccines have a net benefit, then, is, with all due respect, either ignorant or lying.

Are vaccines effective?

Debate rages on over this point as well, but there are many documented instances of highly and even fully vaccinated populations having disease outbreaks. So at best, vaccine effectiveness is unreliable.[x] This well-documented inconsistency belies the alleged “herd immunity” theory, which claims that so long as most are immune, all are protected—the theory just doesn't hold up to scrutiny, at least with vaccines (as opposed to with the wild disease).

Are there any alternatives? God bless homeopathy! A particularly wonderful example was in Cuba in the fall of 2008, when homeoprophylaxis was used in place of allopathic immunizations to respond to a leptospirosis outbreak. Two and a half million people were each given 2 doses of a remedy, and the results not only substantially exceeded prior experience with vaccines, it was about 1/15 the cost![xi] And to the best of my knowledge, there are no serious adverse events with homeopathy as there are with virtually any widespread use of vaccines. The failure of our government health agencies to seize upon the Cuban and other homeoprophylaxis successes by aggressively pursuing further research in this area, and the incorporation of homeoprophylaxis into standard infectious disease control strategies, reveals a public health policy driven by something other than the best health interests of the members of our society.
With all of the problems in the allopathic world, and obvious safe and effective alternatives to immunizations that are being systematically ignored, it’s no wonder that a growing number of people are looking for ways to legally avoid immunization mandates. Ironically, vaccines are being required in greater and greater numbers for more and more people. The reason is simple: The federal government subsidizes vaccine research and development; state and federal governments mandate vaccines; state and federal governments purchase vaccines; and state and federal governments compensate those injured or killed by vaccines. So, for those who are able to throw ethics and morality out the window without a second thought, there’s a racket here offering profound profits, and a convenient vehicle for injecting who knows what into literally billions of people worldwide. The multi-billion dollar international vaccine industry is projected to grow at some 10-12% annually for the next several years.

To see full article, please click here.
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